



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1430 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/302,863	04/30/1999	RAYMOND G. GOODWIN	2519	7568
22932	7590 07/23/2003			
IMMUNEX CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
51 UNIVERSI	TY STREET		ROMEO, DAVID S ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, W	A 98101			
			1647	2 4
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action	09/302,863	GOODWIN ET AL.			
	·	Examiner	Art Unit			
-		David S Romeo	1647			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
	THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
10	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
	2. 🗵 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
	(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
	(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelin	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
	3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared to the proposed amendment of) a) will not be entered or b) lid be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	a sa sapantou.			
	Claim(s) allowed:		•			
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-34</u> .					
_	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
	. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)	☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
		· Р	David S Romeo Primary Examiner			
S. Pr	atent and Trademark Office	 A	rt Unit: 1647			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because they raise new issues, i.e., "90," that would require further consideration and/or search. The proposed amendment includes limitations not previously examined, i.e., "90."

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that it is impermissible for the examiner to finally reject the claims in the first Office action in Applicant's CPA because MPEP 706.07(b) states that "it would not be proper to make final a first Office action in a continuing or substitute application where that application contains material which was presented in the earlier application after final rejection or closing of prosecution but was denied entry because (A) new issues were raised that required further consideration and/or search, or (B) the issue of new matter was raised." Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant did not direct that the unentered amendment previously filed under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 be entered. Note that item number one ("1.") in Applicant's CPA request transmittal filed September 25, 2002 (Paper No. 20) under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(d) provides for entering the unentered amendment previously filed under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 in the prior non-provisional application. Applicant left item number one ("1.") unchecked. Accordingly, the unentered amendment previously filed under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 in the prior non-provisional application was not entered. Therefore, Applicant's CPA does not contain "material which was presented in the earlier application after final rejection or closing of prosecution but was denied entry because (A) new issues were raised that required further consideration and/or search, or (B) the issue of new matter was raised." Accordingly, the first Office action mailed January 2, 2003 (Paper No. 22) was properly made final. Furthermore, Applicant's arguments are directed to the newly proposed or amended claims and that amendment has not been entered.